

Desiccation pre-sowing of Conyza spp., by alternative herbicides to 2,4-D

Nayane Soares França^a, Paulo Vinicius da Silva^a, Daniela Maria de Barros ^a, Rafael Pessoni Pereira Nascimento Borges^a, Elias da Silva Medeiros^a, Ana Júlia Marques Santana^a.

^a Faculty of agrarian sciences, Federal University of Grande Dourados, Dourados, Brazil, nayane.franca032@academico.ufgd.edu.br

Abstract. The control of Conyza spp., can happen in function of its phenological stage. For that reason, in infested areas with Conyza's height less than 10 cm, one single application of herbicides can be enough for its control. Therefore, the purpose of this work was to evaluate the efficacy of alternatives herbicides from 2,4-D on the control of Conyza spp., lower than 10 cm. For such, it was done a field experiment with experimental delineation of casualization blocks. It was applied the followed herbicides treatments in one single application: fluroxypy + clethodim + saflufenacil; dicamba + glyphosate + saflufenacil; triclopyr + glyphosate + saflufenacil; chlorimurom-ethyl + glyphosate + saflufenacil; mesotrione + atrazine + glyphosate; fluroxypyr + clethodim + glyphosate; dicamba + glyphosate; triclopyr + glyphosate; chlorimurom-etílico + glyphosate; mesotrione + atrazine + glyphosate + 2,4-D; halaxifen + diclosulam + glyphosate; tembotrione + atrazine + glyphosate; tembotrione + atrazine + glyphosate + 2,4-D e witness with no herbicides application. The Conyza's control was evaluated at 7, 14, 21, 28, 35 and 42 days after the application and the statistics analysis was done by F test and Tukey test. The herbicides dicamba + glyphosate + saflufenacil; triclopyr + glyphosate + saflufenacil; e mesotrione + atrazine + glyphosate + 2.4 -D reported results above 80% in the control of *Conyza'spp.* Therefore, alternatives herbicides to 2,4-D can be effective on the control of Conyza spp., lower than 10 cm with one single application.

Keywords. Horseweed, synergism, association.

1. Introduction

In Brazil on Conyza spp., gender it was identify three species: C. bonariensis, C. canadensis e C. Sumatrensis^[1]. The soybeans plantation comprehends an estimated area of 44 million of hectare according to Conab^[2], as this species infests between 40,8% to 49% of these areas. For this reason, in the South and Southeast region 81% of the planted territory are target with herbicides application pursuing *Conyza's spp.*, due to climatic conditions and positive cultivate standards for *Conyza's spp.*, in the region ^[3].

In the Mato Grosso do Sul's South region, when the corn's cycles end or after its harvest, the germinative fluxes of Conyza spp., happen continually, considering the states average temperature near to 20°C and cloudy periods that are observed at the months of June, July and August.⁴ Those climatic characteristics are favorable for the plant's emergence with different phenological stages near the soybean sowing ^[5,6]. As well as the regrowth's

occurrences with were reported in agricultural areas in the cities of Dourados and Maracajú in 2021/2022 harvest, according to Conab^[2].

In this scenario, the strategies of *Conyza's* management are frequently focused in the soybean's pre sowing desiccation, as homogeneous areas with *Conyza's* lower than 10 cm are estimated an effective control with one single application of isolated herbicides or in association^[7].

In Mato Grosso do Sul's south are recently reports of *Conyza's* biotypes with glyphosate, chlorimuron, paraquat and 2,4-D multiple resistance ^[8]. Such resistance has limited the pre and post emergence registered herbicides options.

At first, auxinic products were positioned and beside these it was also observed the placement of corn's registered herbicides, for example atrazine + mesotrione, and recently tembotrione + atrazine ^[9]. Also had been tested the soybean's herbicides changing of placement, changing the constantly applications of chlorimuron-ethyl and chlorasulan done in soybean's post emergence desiccation ^[10]. Through these herbicides, in plants lower than 10 cm, the applications can be single, normally by the herbicides association that has different mechanism of action and performance in plants ^[7].

Therefore, the intensification of *Conyza's* resistant biotypes to 2,4-D had been limited the products for its effective control becoming even more necessary the studies about this topic. In view of this, the purpose of this work was to evaluate the efficacy of alternative herbicides to 2,4-D in the control of *Conyza's spp.*, in homogeneous areas with plants lower than 10 cm.

2. Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted in the field, at Dourados, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil ($22^{\circ}18'22''S$ $54^{\circ}51'26''W$, altitude de 413 m). The climatic classification at the city is from Koppen and the mean annual temperature is $22,7^{\circ}C$ ^[11]. In the moment of the experiment were collected soil samples in depth of 0-20 cm, and classified as Dystrophic Red Latosol which is clayey with physicochemical properties thatare in table 1.

Tab. 1 – Physical and chemical analysis of the soils sample in the experimental area.

Ca	Mg	H+Al	Т	Al
4,56	2,08	7,08	13,82	0,12
К	Р	V (%)		рН
18	40,73	48,8		5,77

Font: TECSOLO's laboratory.

The experiment was conducted with experimental delineation of casualization blocks. The experimental unities were constituted by parcels of 3x5m dimensions totalizing 15 m². The single application with Conyza's biological target lower than 10 cm was done through the following post emergence herbicides: (1) fluroxypyr + clethodim + glyphosate + saflufenacil (250 + 175 + 1000 + 28 g i.a. ha-1); (2) dicamba + glyphosate + saflufenacil (288 + 1000 + 28 g i.a. ha-1); (3) triclopyr + glyphosate + saflufenacil (1990 + 1000 + 28 g i.a. ha-1); (4) chlorimuron-ethyl + glyphosate + saflufenacil (17,5 + 1000 + 28 g i.a. ha-1); (5) mesotrione + atrazine + glyphosate (100 +1000 + 1000 g i.a. ha-1); (6) fluroxypyr + clethodim + glyphosate (250 + 175 + 1000 g i.a. ha-1); (7) dicamba + glyphosate (288 + 1000 g i.a. ha1); (8) triclopyr + glyphosate (1190 + 1000 g i.a. ha-1); (9) chlorimuron-ethyl + glyphosate (17,5 + 1000 g i.a. ha-1); (10) mesotrione + atrazine + glyphosate + 2.4D (100 + 1000 + 1000 + 1209 g i.a. ha-1); (11)haloxifen + diclosulam + glyphosate (4,85 + 25,52 + 1000 g i.a. ha-1); (12) tembotrione + atrazine

+ glyphosate (84 + 1000 + 1000 g i.a. ha-1); (13) tembotrione + atrazine + glyphosate + 2,4 D (84 + 1000 + 1000 + 1209 g i.a. ha-1) besides (14) witness with no herbicides application.

At the moment of the application the area had *Conyza*'s infestation at the density of 96 plants per m^2 with homogeneous plants distributed in the field, that had average height of 8,4 cm. The density of *Conyza*'s *spp.*, was taken by measured through the inventory's square method, which consists in randomly throwing a hollow square with area of $1m^2$ in the area for posterior identification and quantification of *Conyza*'s *spp.* At the moment of the application the air relative humidity was 70%, temperature of 28°C and wind speed of 2,3 km/h.

The *Conyza's* percent of control with visual analysis was done at 7, 14, 21, 28, 35 and 42 days after the application (DAA). For statistical analysis purposes, it was done the Deviance's analysis, in which it was used the Generalized Additive Model for Location, Scale and Shape (GAMLSS). To verify the distribution's adequation to the model's residues it was applied the Shapiro-Wilk's test, while the F Deviance's analysis was applied to verify the factors allocated as fix effect's significance. It was adopted a level of 5% significance in all of the tests.

3. Results and discussion

At the first evaluation - 7 DAA - it was noted that the treatments T2 - dicamba + glyphosate + saflufenacil and T3 – triclopyr + glyphosate + saflufenacil didn't presented significant difference between itself, however these treatments had difference between the others, presenting satisfactory values of control, with percents above 80%. At 14 DAA the treats T4 - chlorimuron + glyphosate + saflufenacil; T5 mesotrione + atrazine + glyphosate; T10- mesotrione + atrazine + glyphosate + 2,4-D and T13 tembotrione + atrazine + glyphosate + 2,4 - D, didn't had difference among it selves by the statistics analysis, with more than 80% of control. While the treatments T2 - dicamba + glyphosate + saflufenacil and T3 - triclopyr + glyphosate + saflufenacil, didn't had difference between itself by the statistics control and had difference between the others, presenting satisfactory values of control nears to 90%, at the same period, the others treatments presented control lower than 80%.

At 21 DAA the treatments T2 – dicamba + glyphosate + saflufenacil; T3 – triclopyr + glyphosate + saflufenacil and T5 – mesotrione + atrazine + glyphosate + 2,4 -D, didn't had difference amoung itselves by the statistics analysis, with percents nears to 100%. At 28 DAA the treatments T2 – dicamba + glyphosate + saflufenacil, T3 – triclopyr + glyphosate + saflufenacil and T5 – mesotrione + atrazine + glyphosate + 2,4 – D continued to present difference among the others treatments, with values above 100%, while at 35 DAA only the treatments T2 – dicamba + glyphosate + saflufenacil and T4 – mesotrione + atrazine + glyphosate + 2,4-D had difference between the others.



Figure 1 – Tukey's test result for treatments comparation in each day after the application when evaluated the control of Conyza's lower than 10cm.

Subtitle: T1 - fluroxypyr + clethodim + glyphosate; T2 - dicamba + glyphosate + saflufenacil; T3 - triclopyr + glyphosate + saflufenacil; T4 - chlorimuron-ethyl + glyphosate + saflufenacil; T5 - mesotrione + atrazine + glyphosate + 2,4-D; T6 fluroxypyr + chletodim + glyphosate; T7 - dicamba + glyphosate; T8 - triclopyr + glyphosate; T9 - chlorimuron-ethyl + glyphosate; T10 - mesotrione + atrazine + glyphosate; T11 - haloxifen + diclosulam + glyphosate; T12 - tembotrione + atrazine + glyphosate; T13 - tembotrione + atrazine + glyphosate + 2,4 D.

At 42 DAA it was noticed the higher efficacy of the treatments T2 – dicamba + glyphosate + saflufenacil, T3 – triclopyr + glyphosate + saflufenacil and T5 – mesotrione + atrazine + glyphosate + 2,4 -D in the control of *Conyza'spp.*, lower than 10 cm, presenting values near to 100% of control.

From the results obtained it was possible to notice, still at the first evaluation, the efficacy of the *Conyza's* control by using auxinic herbicides associated with saflufenacil, that caused synergism in the control of *Conyza's spp.*, highlighting the treatments T2 – dicamba + glyphosate + saflufenacil, T3 – triclopyr + glyphosate + saflufenacil and T4 – chlorimuron + glyphosate + saflufenacil, that had values higher than 80%, differently of the treatments that didn't present this herbicide, just as T7 – dicamba + glyphosate, T8 - triclopyr + glyphosate and T9 – chlorimuron + glyphosate that didn't present satisfactory values, with percents lower than 60%.

This result is also compared with the one observed by Dalazen^[12], who had noticed the synergic effect in the association between the herbicides glyphosate and saflufenacil in the control of *Conyza's spp.*, also noticing the prevent of *Conyza's* regrowth. The author emphasize that this mixture presented control results higher than the expected independent of the dose applied.

Other synergism association is observed between the herbicides HPPD's inhibitors and FSII and 2,4 -D, in this case, T5 – mesotrione + atrazine + 2,4-D + glyphosate, been able to notice that this association had an increasing of almost 20% in the last

evaluation. Although mesotrione + atrazine had satisfactory results in the control of *Conyza spp.*, this fact can be explained by Kruse¹³, who had observed the synergism between those mechanisms of action, since the Photosystem's II electrons' transport's inhibitors herbicides can cause oxidative stress, however these can be tempered by the carotenoids action, potentializing this mixture.

Through the analysis of regression, which are observe the evolution of the *Conyza's* control by one single application. This result was also observed by Dalazen^[12], which was possible to notice that the majority of the treatments had a progressive increase in the control, with emphasis in the treatments T2 – dicamba + glyphosate + saflufencil and T3 – triclopyr + glyphosate + saflufenacil, which presented satisfactory control that were above 80% since 14 DAA.



Figure 2 – Regression analysis when evaluated the control in the experiment with Conyza's lower than 10 cm for the DAT. The black line indicates the Beta's regression adjustment with function of logit connection, $1/{1 + \exp[-\eta]}$.

Therefore, in *Conyza's* natural infested areas with plants lower than 10 cm, one single herbicide's application can be efficient, and an effective alternative can be the association of auxinic with saflufenacil, in which it was observed the synergism and the velocity of control. This result differs from the work done by Gazola⁷, which noticed that *Conyza's spp.*, higher than 10 cm are difficult to control with one single application.

4. References

- [1] Lucio, FR, Kalsing, A, Adegas, FS, Rossi, CV, Correia, NM, Gazziero, DL, Silva, AF. Dispersal and Frequency of Glyphosate Resistant and Glyphosate-Tolerant weed in soybean-producing Edaphoclimatic microregions in Brazil [Internet]: *Cambridge core*, 2019, 33 (1): 217-231. [cited 2023 ago 8]. Available from: https://www.cambridge.org.
- [2] Conab Companhia Nacional de Abastecimento. Acompanhamento da Safra Brasileira de Grãos
 [Internet]: Conab, Brasília, DF, 2022; 10(9). [cited 2023 ago 8]. Available from: http://www.conab.gov.br.

- [3] Piasecki, C, Mazon, AS, Monge, A, Cavalcante, JA, Agostinetto, D, Vargas, L. Glyphosate applied at the early reproductive stage impairs seed produvtion of glyphosate-resistant hairy fleabane [Internet]: *Planta daninha*, 2019. [cited 2023 ago 8]. Available from:https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-83582019370100104
- [4] Embrapa. Guia Clima. Estação Embrapa Dourados/MS, 2023. [cited 2023 july 30]. Available from: https://clima.cpao.embrapa.br
- [5] Cantu, RM, Albrecht, LP, Albrecht, AJ, Silva, AF, Danilussi, MT, Lorenzetti, JB. Herbicide alternative for Conyza sumatrensis control in pre-planting in no-till soybeans [Internet]: *Advances in Weed Science*, 2021, 37. [cited 2023 ago 8]. Available from:https://doi.org/10.51694/AdvWeedSci/20 21;39:000012
- [6] Albrecht, AG, Albrecht, LP, Alves, SN, Silva, AF, Silva, WO, Lorenzetti, JB, Danilussi, MT, Barroso, AA, Presowing application of combinations of burndown and pre-emergent herbicides for Conyza spp., control in soybean [Internet]; Agronomia Colombiana, 2021, 39(1): 121-8. [cited 2023 ago 8]. Available from:https://doi.org/10.15446/agron.colomb.v 39n1.89545
- [7] Gazola, J, Zandoná, RR, Barbieri, GF, Schneider, T, Agostinetto, D, Influence of Conyza spp., Stature on control efficiency by auxinic herbicides [Internet]: Agrarian, 2022, 15(55). [cited 2023 ago 9]. Available from:https://ojs.ufgd.edu.br/index.php/agraria n/article/download/14568/8755
- [8] Cesco, VJ, Nardi, R, Krenchinski, FH, Albrecht, A. J. P., RODRIGUES, D. M., & ALBRECHT, L. P. Management of resistant Conyza spp. during soybean pre-sowing [Internet]: *Planta Daninha*, 2019, 37. [cited 2023 ago 8]. Available from: https://doi.org.
- [9] Matte, WD, Junior, RS, Machado, FG, Constantin, J, Biffe, DF, Gutierrez, FS, Silva, JR, Eficácia de [Atrazine + Mesotrione] para o controle de plantas daninhas na cultura do milho [Internet]: *Revista Brasileira de herbicidas*, 2018, 17(2). [cited 2023 ago 8]. Available from:https://www.rbherbicidas.com.br/index.p hp/rbh/article/view/587
- [10] Silva, GS, Leal, JF, Oliveira, GF, Diniz, JL, Mendes, MA, Araujo, AL, Souza, MA, Pinho, CF, Eficiência de diferentes formulações de glifosato em mistura e em sequencial com o 2,4-D no controle de Conyza sp., resistente ao glifosato [Internet]. 2019. [cited 2023 ago 9]. Available from:

http://fitossanidade.fcav.unesp.br/seer/index.p hp/simpoherbi/article/view/731.

- [11] Fietz, CR, Fisch, GF, Comunello, E, Flumigan, DL, "O Clima da Região de Dourados, MS". *EMBRAPA*, 2017. [cited 2023 july 23]. Available from: https://www.infoteca.cnptia.embrapa.br/infotec a/bitstream/doc/1079733/1/DOC2017138FIET Z.pdf
- [12] Dalazen, G, Kruse, ND, Machado, SL, Balbinot, A, Sinergismo na combinação de glifosato e saflufenacil para o controle de buva [Internet]: *Pesquisa agropecuária tropical*, 2015, 45(2). [cited 2023 ago 10]. Available from:https://doi.org.
- [13] Kruse, ND, Vidal, RA, Bauman, TT, Trezzi, MM, Sinergismo potencial entre herbicidas inibidores do fotossistema II e da síntese de carotenóides [Internet]: *Ciência Rural*, 2001, 31(4): 569-575. [cited 2023 ago 10]. Available from: https://doi.org.